



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/849,605	11/20/2000	Vladimir Matena	06502.0018-01 7197	
22852	7590 10/27/2005		EXAMINER	
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP			PICH, PONNOREAY	
901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2135		

DATE MAILED: 10/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
09/849,605	MATENA, VLADIMIR	MATENA, VLADIMIR	
Examiner	Art Unit		
Ponnoreay Pich	2135		

	Ponnoreay Pich	2135	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	iress
THE REPLY FILED 05 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or this application, applicant must timely file one of the for places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complication time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing of the period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing of the period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing of the period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing of the period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing of the period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing of the period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing of the period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing of the period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing of the period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing of the period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing of the period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing of the period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing of the period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing of the period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing of the period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing of the period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing of the period for reply expires 3 months from the period for the period for reply expires 3 months from the period for the period for reply expires 3 months from the period for the period for reply expires 3 months from the period for the p</li></ol>	Illowing replies: (1) an amendment, a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply	affidavit, or other evider n compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of th no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expi Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPE	is Advisory Action, or (2) the date set for ire later than SIX MONTHS from the mai or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN T P 706.07(f).	iling date of the final reject HE FIRST REPLY WAS F	tion. FILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The d have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office I may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704 NOTICE OF APPEAL	f extension and the corresponding amou he shortened statutory period for reply o ater than three months after the mailing	nt of the fee. The appropring riginally set in the final Off	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in confiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.</li> </ol>	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of the	hs of the date of ne appeal. Since
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beginning)</li> </ol>	consideration and/or search (see N		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by materially	reducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a		ejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR		Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ı(s):		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	·	•	•
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): how the new or amended claims would be rejected is particle. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-26. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		will be entered and an o	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of file entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necess</li> </ol>	to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under app	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explana REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	ation of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attack	ned.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	but does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(statement)</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's amendments raises new issues that would require further search and/or reconsideration on the part of the examiner.

KIM VU

SUPER "SORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100